STRICTURES

A Gresent UPON THE From The Author. DECLARATION Gov Autelimfor. OF THE

## CONGRESS at PHILADELPHIA;

### In a LETTER to a NOBLE LORD, &c.

# LONDON: PRINTED IN THE YEAR 1776.



, • •

¢

## A LETTER to a NOBLE LORD, &c.

#### MY LORD.

**HE** laft time I had the honour of being in your ' Lordfhips company, you observed that you was utterly at a loss to what facts many parts of the Declaration of Independence published by the Philadelphia Congress referred, and that you wished they had been more particularly mentioned, that you might better judge of the grievances, alledged as special causes of the feparation of the Colonies from the other parts of the Empire. This hint from your Lordship induced me to attempt a few Strictures upon the Declaration. Upon my first reading st, I thought there would have been more policy in leaving the World altogether ignorant of the motives to this Rebellion, than in offering fuch falle and frivolous reafons in support of it; and I flatter myself, that before I have finished this letter. your Lordship will be of the fame mind. But I beg leave, first to make a few remarks upon its rife and progress.

I have often heard men, (who I believe were free from party influence) express their withes, that the claims of the Colonies to an exemption from the authority of Parliament in impoling Taxes had been conceded; because they had no doubts that America would have submitted in all other cases; and so this unhappy Rebellion, which has already proved fatal to many hundreds of the Subjects of the Empire, and probably will to many thousands more, might have been prevented.

The Acts for imposing Duties and Taxes may have accelerated the Rebellion, and if this could have been forefeen, perhaps, it might have been good policy to have omitted or deferred them; but I am of opinion, · that if no Taxes or Duties had been laid upon the Colonies, other pretences would have been found for B 2 exception

exception to the authority of Parliament. The body of the people in the Colonies, I know, were easy and quiet. They felt no burdens. They were attached, indeed, in every Colony to their own particular Conffitutions, but the Supremacy of Parliament over the whole gave them no concern. They had been happy under it for an hundred years past: They feared no imaginary evils for an hundred years to come. But there were men in each of the principal Colonies, who had Independence in view, before any of those Taxes were laid, or proposed, which have fince been the oftenfible caufe of refifting the execution of Acts of Parliament I hole men have conducted the Rebellion in the feveral flages of it, until they have removed the conflitutional powers of Government in each Colony, and have affumed to themfelves, with others, a fupreme authority over the whole.

Their defigns of Independence began foon after the reduction of Canada, relying upon the future ceffion of it by treaty. They could have no other pretence to a claim of Independence, and they made no other at first, than what they called the natural rights of mankind, to chule their own forms of Government, and to change them when they pleafe. This, they were foon convinced, would not be fufficient to draw the people from their attachment to constitutions under which they had fo long been eafy and happy : Some grievances, real or imaginary, were therefore neceffary. They were fo far from holding Acts for laying Duties to be unconftitutional, and, as has been fince alledged, meer nullities, that in Maflachufet's Bay the General Affembly, about the year 1762, ordered an Action to be brought against the Officers of the Cultoms, for charges made in the Court of Admiralty, which had caufed a diminution of the part of forfeitures to the Province, by virtue of what is called the Sugar Act, paffed in the fixth year of George the Second. Surely they would not deny the authority of Parliament to lay the Duty, while they were fuing for their part of the penalty for the non-payment of it. 

Digitized by Google

Their

Their first attempt, was against the Courts of Admiralty, which they pronounced unconstitutional, whose judgments, as well as jurifdiction, they endeavoured to bring into examen before the Courts of Common Law, and a Jury chosen from among the people: About the fame time, astrong opposition was formed against Writs of Affistants, granted to the Officers of the Customs by the Supreme Courts, and this opposition finally prevailed in all the Colonies, except two or three, against, and in defiance of, an Act of Parliament which required the fupreme Courts to grant these writs.

It does not, however, appear that there was any regular plan formed for attaining to Independence, any further than that every fresh incident which could be made to ferve the purpole, by alienating the affections of the Colonie from the Kingdom, fhould be improved accordingly. One of these incidents happened in the year 1761. This was the A& of Parliament for granting certain duties on goods in the British Colonies, for the support of Government, &c. At the fame time a proposal was made in Parliament, to lay a stamp duty upon certain writings in the Colonies; but this was deferred until the next Seffion, that the Agents of the Colonies might notify the feveral Affemblies in order to their proposing any way, to them more eligible, for raising a fum for the tame purpofe with that intended by a ftamp duty. The Colony of Maflachufet's Bay was more affected by the Act for granting duties, than any other More molasses, the principal article from Colony. which any duty could arife, was diffilled into fpirits in that Colony than in all the reft. The Affembly of Maffachuset's Bay, therefore, was the first that took any publick notice of the Act, and the first which ever took exception to the right of Parliament to impose Duties or Taxes on the Colonies, whilft they had no representatives in the Houfe of Commons. This they did in a letter to their Agent in the fummer of 1764, which they took care to print and publish before it was possible for him to receive it. And in this letter they recommend to him a pamphlet, wrote by one of their Bz members.

members, in which there are propolals for admitting representatives from the Colonies to fit in the House of Commons.

I have this special reason, my Lord, for taking notice of this Act of the Maffachuset's Affembly; that though an American representation is thrown out as an expedient which might obviate the objections to Taxes upon the Colonies, yet it was only intended to amuse the authority in England; and as soon as it was known to have its advocates here, it was renounced by the Colonies, and even by the Affembly of the Colony which first proposed it, as utterly impracticable. In every flage of the Revolt, the fame disposition has always appeared. No precife, unequivocal terms of fubmiffion to the authority of Parliament in any cafe, have ever been offered by any Affembly. A conceffion has only produced a further demand, and I verily believe if every thing had been granted fhort of absolute Independence, they would not have been contented; for this was the object from the beginning. One of the most noted among the American clergy, prophefied eight years ago, that within eight years from that time, the Colonies would be formed into three diffinct independent Republics, Northern, Middle and Southern. I could give your Lordship many irrefragable proofs of this determined defign, but I referve them for a future letter. the subject of which shall be the rise and progress of the Rebellion in each of the Colonies.

Soon after the intention of raising monies in America for the purpose of a revenue was known, the promoters of Independence, and Revolt, settled certain principles of polity, such as they thought would be best adapted to their purpose.

"The authority of Parliament over the Colonifts ceased upon their leaving the Kingdom. Every degree of fubjection is therefore voluntary, and ought to continue no longer than the authority thall be for the public good.

"If there had been no express compass by charters, or implied by fubmitting to be governed under Royal Commiffions, the Colonifts would be under no obligations to acknowledge the King of Great Britain as their Sovereign,

reign, and this obligation muft ceafe when he fhall ceafe, to perform his part of the conditions of the compact.

"As every Colony, by charten or by Royal Commillions, was conflicted with fpecial legiflative powers to raile monies by Taxes, Duties, &c. no monies ought to be raifed from the inhabitants, by any other powers than the feveral refpective legiflatures.

"As the Colonies were fettled by encouragement from, and fome at great expence of, the Kingdom, and principally for commercial purpofes, fubjection to necoffary and reafonable Acts for regulating commerce ought to be fpecially acknowledged.

"Other Acts to be fubmitted to, or not, as they may, or may not, be for the benefit of the Colonies."

These principles of Government in Colonies must foon work an Independence.

To carry them to effect, Confederacies were formed by the chiefs of the revolters in each Colony; and Conventions were held by Delegates when judged neceffary: Subjects for controverly in opposition to Government were fought for in each of the Colonies, to irritate and inflame the minds of the people, and difpole them to revolt : Differtions and commotions in any Colony, were cherished and increased, as surnishing proper matter to work upon : For the same purpose, fictitious letters were publifhed, as having been received from England, informing of the defigns of ministry, and even of Bills being before the Parliament for introducing into the Colonies arbitrary Government, heavy Taxes and other cruel oppressions: Every legal measure for suppressing illicit trade was reprefented as illegal and grievous; and the people were called upon to refift it : A correspondence was carried on with perfons in England, promoters of the revolt, whofe intelligence and advice from time to time were of great use : Perfons in England of Juperior rank and characters, but in opposition to the meafures of administration, were courted and deceived, by falle professions; and the real intentions of the revolters were concealed : The tumults, riots, contempt B 4 and

and defiance of law in England, were urged to encourage and justify the like diforders in the Colonics, and to annihilate the powers of Government there.

Many thousands of people who were before good and loyal subjects, have been deluded, and by degrees induced to rebel against the best of Princes, and the mildest of Governments.

Governors, and other fervants of the Crown, and Officers of Government, with fuch as adhered to them, have been removed and banished under pretence of their being the instruments of promoting ministerial tyranny and arbitrary power; and finally the people have subjected themselves to the most cruel oppressions of fifty or fixty Despots.

It will cause greater prolixity to 'analize the various parts of this Declaration, than to recite the whole. I will there'ore prefent it to your Lordship's view in diffinct paragraphs, with my remarks, in order as the paragraphs are published.

In Congress, July 4, 1776.

A Declaration by the Reprefentatives of the United States of America in General Congress affimbled.

When in the course of human events it becomes necessary for one People to difficive the political bands which have connetted them with another, and to assume among the Powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the laws of nature and of nature's God entitle them. a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.

We hold these truths to be felf evident—That all men are ereated equal, that they are endowed by their Greater with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happines, that to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed; and whenever any form of government becomes acstructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or abolish it, and to institute new government, laying its foundation on such principles, and organizing its powers in such form as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happines. Prudence indeed

indeed will distate that governments long established, should not be changed for light and transient causes; and accordingly all experience hath shewn that mankind are more difpoled to Inffer while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed. But when a long train of abujes and usurpations purfuing invariably the fame object, evinces a action to reduce them under absolute despotifin, it is their right, it is their duty to throw off fuch government, and to provide new guards for their future fecurity. Such has been the patient sufferance of these Coionies, and such is now the neceffity which constrains them to alter their former fystems of Government. The hiftory of the prefent King of Great Britain is a hiftory of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having its direct object, the effublishment of an absolute tyranny over these States. To prove this, let facts be fubmitted to a candid world.

They begin, my Lord, with a false hypothefis, That the Colonies are one diftinet people, and the kingdom another, connected by political bands. The Colonies, politically confidered, never were a diffinct people from the kingdom. There never has been but one political band, and that was just the fame before the first Colonifts emigrated as it has been ever fince, the Supreme Legiflative Authority, which hath effential right, and is indifpenfably bound to keep all parts of the Empire entire, until there may be a feparation confident with the general good of the Empire, of which good, from the nature of government, this authority mult be the fole judge. I should therefore be impertinent, if I attempted to shew in what case a whole people may be justified in rifing up in oppugnation to the powers of government, altering or abolifhing them, and fubft tuting, in whole or in part, new powers in their flead; or in what fense all men are created equal; or how far life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness may be faid to be unalienable; only I could with to afk the Delegates of Maryland, Virginia, and the Carolinas, how their Conftituents juffify the depriving more than an hondred thousand Africans of their rights to liberty, and the

the purfuit of bappines, and in fome degree to their lives, if these rights are to absolutely unalienable; nor shall I attempt to confute the absurd notions of government, or to expose the equivocal or inconclusive expreftions contained in this Declaration; but rather to shew the table representation made of the facts which are alledged to be the evidence of injuries and usurpations, and the special motives to Rebellion. There are many of them, with design, left obscure; for as soon as they are developed, instead of justifying, they rather aggravate the criminality of this Revolt.

The first in order, He has refused his affent to laws the most wholesome and necessary for the public good; is of fo general a nature, that it is not possible to conjecture to what laws or to what Colonies it refers. I remember no laws which any Colony has been restrained from passing, so as to cause any complaint of grievance, except those for iffuing a fraudulent paper-currency, and making it a legal tender; but this is a restraint which for many years pass has been laid on Assemblies by an act of Parliament, fince which such as cannot have been offered to the King for his allowance. I therefore believe this to be a general charge, without any particulars to support it; fit enough to be placed at the head of a lift of imaginary grievances.

The laws of England are or ought to be the laws of its Colonies. To prevent a deviation further than the local circumftances of any Colony may make neceffary, all Colony laws are to be laid before the King; and if difailowed, they then become of no force. Rhode-Island, and Connecticut, claim by Charters, an exemption from this rule, and as their laws are never prefented to the King, they are out of the queftion. Now if the King is to approve of all laws, or which is the fame thing, of all which the people judge for the public good, for we are to prefume they pais no other, this referve in all Charters and Commiffions is futile. This charge is still more inexcufable, becaufe I am well informed, the difallowance of Colony laws has been much more frequent in preceding reigns, than in the prefent. H

ſ

He has forbidden his Governors to pass laws of immediate and preffing importance, unless sufpended in their operation till his affent should be obtained, and when fo sufpended, he has utterly neglected to attend them.

Laws, my Lord, are in force in the Colonies, as foon as a Governor has given his affent, and remain in force until the King's difallowance is fignified. Some laws may have their full effect before the King's pleasure can be known. Some may injuriously affect the property of the fubject; and fome may be prejudicial to the prerogative of the Crown, and to the trade. manufactures and thipping of the kingdom. Governors have been instructed, long before the present or the last reign, not to confent to fuch laws, unless with a claufe fuspending their operations until the pleafure of the King shall be known. I am sure your Lordship will think that nothing is more reasonable. In Maffachuset's Bay, the Affembly would never pafs a law with a fuspending clause. To pass laws which must have their whole operation, or which must cause fome irreparable mischief before the King's pleafure can be known, would be an usurpation of the People upon the Royal Prerogative : To caufe the operation of fuch laws to be fulpended until the King can fignify his pleasure by force of instructions, fimilar to what has been given in all former Reigns, can never be charged as an ulurpation upon the rights of the People.

I'dare fay, my Lord, that if there has ever been an inftance of any laws lying longer than neceffary before the King's pleafure has been fignified, it has been owing to inattention in fome or the fervants of the Crown, and that upon proper application any grievance would have been immediately redreffed.

He bas refused to pass other laws for accommodation of large districts of People, unless those People would relinquish the rights of representation in the legislature, a right ineffimable to them, and formidable to tyrants enly,

Digitized by Google

We

ſ

We fhall find, my Lord, that Maffachufet's Bay is more concerned in this Declaration than any other Colony. This article re pects that Colony alone. By its charter, a legislature is conflituted : The Governor is poolnied by the King .- The Council, confifting of twenty-eight members, were appointed, in the first instance, by the King, but afterwards are to be elected annually by the two Houfes-The Houfe of Representatives is to confift of two members elected annually by each town, but the number of the Houfe is neverthelefs made fubject to future regulations by acts of the General Affembly. Befides the Council. the Civil Officers of the Government are also to be annually elected by the two Houses. It appeared in a course of years, that by multiplying towns, the House of Reprefentatives had increased to double the number of which it confifted at first. Their importance in all elections was increased in proportion ; for the number of the Council continued the fame as at first. To prevent further deviation from the spirit of the Charter, an inftruction was then first given to the Governors, net to confent to laws for making new towns fo as to increase the number of the House, unless there should be a clause in the law to suspend its operation, until the King fignifies his pleature upon it. But here, my Lord, lies the most shameful falsity of No Governor ever refused to confent to this article. a law for making a new town, even without a fufpending claufe, if provision was made that the inhabitants of the new town should continue to join with the old, or with any other town contiguous or near to it, in the choice of Representatives; to that there never was the least intention to deprive a fingle inhabitant of the right of being represented; and, in fact, fuch provision has ever been made, except where the inhabitants of the new town choie to forego the right, which we must suppose they did not think inestimable, rather than pay the wages of their Representatives. This has been the cafe in feveral inftances, and it is notorious that the Affembly of that Province have made

made it their practice, from year to year, to lay fines on their towns for not chufing Reprefentatives. This is a wilful mifreprefentation made for the fake of the brutal infult at the close of the article.

He has called together legislative bodies at places unusual, uncomfortable, and dislant from the depository of their public records, for the sole purpose of fatiguing them into a compliance with his measures.

To the fame Colony this article also has respect. Your Lordship must remember the riotous, violent opposition to Government in the Town of Boston, which alarmed the whole Kingdom, in the year 1768. Four Regiments of the King's forces were ordered to that Town, to be aiding to the Civil Magistrate in reftoring and preferving peace and order. The Houfe of Representatives, which was then fitting in the Town, remonstrated to the Governor against posting Troops there, as being an invation of their rights. He thought proper to adjourn them to Cambridge, where the House had frequently fat at their own defire, when they had been alarmed with fear of the fmall pox in Boston; the place therefore was not unufual. The public rooms of the College, were convenient for the Affembly to fit in, and the private houses of the Inhabitants for the Members to lodge in; it therefore was not uncomfortable. It was within four miles of the Town of Boston, and less distant than any other Town fit for the purpose.

When this ftep, taken by the Governor, was known in England, it was approved, and conditional inftructions were given to continue the Affembly at Cambridge. The Houle of Reprefentatives railed the moft frivolous objections against the authority of the Governor to remove the Affembly from Boston, but proceeded, nevertheles, to the business of the Session as they used to do. In the next Session, without any new cause, the Affembly refused to do any business unless removed to Boston. This was making themselves judges of the place, and by the same reason, of the time of holding the Affembly, instead of the Governor, who

who thereupon was infructed not to remove them to Bofton, fo long as they continued to deny his authority to carry them to any other place.

They faligued the Governor by adjourning from day to day, and refuling to do bufiness one settion after another, while he gave his constant attendance to no purpose; and this they make the King's faliguing them to compel them to comply with his measures.

A brief narrative of this unimportant difpute between an American Governor and his Affembly, needs an apology to your Lordfhip; how ridiculous then do thole men make themfelves, who offer it to the world as a ground to justify Rebellion ?

He has differed Representatives Houses repeatedly for opposing with manly firmness his Invasions on the Rights of the People.

Contentions between Governors and their Affemblies have caused diffolutions of such Affemblies, I suppose, in all the Colonies, in former as well as later times. 1 recollect but one inftance of the diffolution of an Affembly by special order from the King, and that was in Maffachuser's Bay. In 1768, the House of Representatives passed a vote or resolve, in profecution of the plan of Independence, incompatible with the fubordination of the Colonies to the supreme authority of the Empire; and directed their Speaker to fend a copy of it in circular letters to the Allemblies of the other Colonies, inviting them to avow the principles of the refolve, and to join in supporting them. No Government can long fublift, which admits of combinations of the fubordinate powers against the supreme. This proceeding was therefore, justly deemed highly unwarrantable; and indeed it was the beginning of that unlawful confederacy, which has gone on until it has caufed at leaft a temporary Revolt of all the Colonie's which joined in it.

The Governor was infructed to require the Houfe of Reprefentatives, in their next Seffion to referred of difavow this refolve, and if they refused, to diffolve them, as the only way to prevent their profecuting the plan of Rebellion. Rebellion. They delayed a definitive answer, and he indulged them, until they had finished all the business of the Province, and then appeared this manly firmness in a rude answer and a peremptory refusal to comply with the King's demand. Thus, my Lord, the regular use of the prerogative in suppressing a begun Revolt, is urged as a grievance to justify the Revolt.

He has refufed for a long time after fuch diffolutions to caufe others to be crected whereby the legislative powers, incapable of annihilation, have returned to the people at large for their exercise; the flate remaining in the mean time exposed to all the dangers of invasions from without and convulsions within.

This is connected with the last preceding article, and must relate to the fame Colony only; for no other ever prefumed, until the year 1 74, when the general diffolution of the established government in all the Colonies was taking place, to convene an Assembly, without the Governor, by the meer act of the People.

In lefs than three months after the Governor had diffolved the Affembly of Maffachufet's Bay, the town of Bolton, the first mover in all affairs of this nature, applied to him to call another Affembly. The Governor thought he was the judge of the proper time for calling an Affembly, and refused. The town, without delay, chofe their former members, whom they called a Committee, inftead of Reprefentatives; and they fent circular letters to all the other towns in the Province inviting them to chufe Committees alfo ; and all these Committees met in what they called a Convention, and choie the Speaker of the last house their Chairman. Here was a Houfe of Representatives in every thing but name; and they were proceeding upon bufinefs in the town of Bolton, but were interrupted by the arrival of two or three regiments, and a spirited messige from the Governor, and in two or three days returned to their homes.

This vacation of three months was the long time the people waited before they exercised their unalienable powers; the Invasions from without were the arrival or expectation of three or four regiments fent by the King



King to aid the Civil Magistrate in preferving the peace; and the *Convulfins within* were the tumults, riots and acts of violence which this Convention was called, not to fupprefs but to encourage.

He has endeavoured to prevent the population of these States; for that purpose obstructing the laws for naturalizatin of foreigners, refusing to pass others to encourage their migration hither, and raising the conditions of new appropriations of lands.

By this and the next article, we have a fhort relief from the Province of Maffachufet's Bay. I cannot ' conceive that the fubjects in the Colonies would have had any caufe of complaint if there never had been any encouragement given to foreigners to fettle among them; and it was an act of meer favour to the Colonies which admitted foreigners to a claim of naturalization after a refidence of feven years How has the King obstructed the operation of this act? In no other way than by refufing his affent to colony acts for further encouragement. Nothing can be more regular and conffitutional. Shall any other than the supreme authority of the Empire judge upon what terms foreigners may be admitted to the privilege of natural born subjects ? Parliament alone may pais acts for this purpole. If there had been further conditions annexed to the grants of unappropriated lands, than have ever yet been, or even a total reftriction of fuch grants when the danger of Revolt was foreseen, it might have been a prudent measure; it certainly was justifiable, and nobody has any right to complain.

He has obstructed the administration of justice by refusing bis assent to laws for establishing juaiciary powers.

I was, my Lord, somewhat at a loss, upon first reading this article, to what transaction or to what Colony it could refer. I soon found, that the Colony must be North Carolina; and that the transaction, referred to, is a reproach upon the Colony, which the Congress have most wickedly perverted to cast reproach upon the King.

In

In most, if not all, of the Colonies, laws have paffed to enable creditors to attach the effects of abient or abiconding debtors; and to oblige the truffees of fuch debtors to disclose upon oath the effects in their hands; and also all perfons indebted to them to disclose the debts. Whatever these laws may have been in their original intention, they have proved most iniquitous in their operation. The creditors, who firft come to the knowledge of any effects, ferze them to the exclusion even of the other creditors in the Colony; and the creditors in England, or at the greatest distance, stand still a worse chance. I have known in some Colonies, inftances of attachments of the effects of bankrupts in England, which by force of these laws have been made, by the American creditors, to the full fatisfaction of their debts, when the creditors in England have received a few shillings only in the pound. This frustrates our own bankrupt laws. I believe they have never had any equitable bankrupt laws in any Colony, of any duration : In New York, they have done more towards them than in any other Colony.

( 17 )

These laws for attachments in most of the Colonies were temporary. The Governors were very properly instructed not to confent to the revival of them, or not without a fuspending clause. In North Carolina, the law for attachments was tacked to, or was part of, the fame law which established their Courts of Justice. The Governor, as he ought to have done if he had received no inftruction, refused a bill for reviving the law, because the provision for attachments was part of it: The Affembly refused to pais the bill without the provision, and in this way determined th y would have no Courts of Justice, unless they were fuch as should be bound to support these iniquitous attachments, peculiarly injurious to British and other distant creditors, and very unequal to the creditors within the Colony.

All this was fully known to the Congress, who, notwithstanding, have most falfely represented the re-С

Digitized by Google

gular

gular use of the prerogative to prevent injustine, as an obstruction to the administration of justice,

He has made Judges dependent on his will alone for the tenure of their offices, and the amount and payment of thein falaries.

The Americans claim a right to the English conflitution and laws, as they flood when the Colonies were planted. The Judges of England were then dependent on the Crown for their continuance imoffice, as well as for their falaries. The Judges in America, except in the Charter-Colonies, have always been dopendent on the Crown for their continuance in office; and, in fome Colonies, the falaries of the Chief Juftice, and fometimes of the other Judges, have been paid by the Crown, and the Colonies have confidered it as an act of favour fhewn them.

There has been a change in the confliction of England in respect of the tenure of the office of the Judges. How does this give a claim to America? It will be faid, the reason in both cases is the same. This will not be allowed, and until the King shall judge it fo, there can be no room for exception to his retaining his prerogative.

And for the falaries, they are fixed and do not depend upon the behaviour of the Judges, nor have there ever been any infrances of falaries being with-held. If the Affemblies in the Colonies would have fixed the like falaries on their Judges, no provision would ever have been made by the Crown; it being immaterial by whom the falary is paid, provided the payment be made fure and certain,

This is a complaint against the King, for not makeing a change in the conflictution of the Colonies, though there is not to much as a presence that there has been the least grievance felt in any Colony for want of this change; nor has there been any complaint even of danger, in any Colony, except Maliachufet's Bay.

Digitized by Google

He

He has erected a Multitude of new offices and fent bither Swarms of officers, to harrass our people and eat out their substitence.

I know of no new offices erected in America in the prefent reign, except thole of the Commiffioners of the Cuftoms and their dependents. Five Commiffioners were appointed, and four Surveyors General difmiffed; perhaps fifteen or twenty clerks and under officers were neceffary for this board more than the Surveyors had occation for before: Land and tide waiters, weighers &c. were known officers before; the Surveyors used to encrease or leffen the number as the King's fervice required, and the Commiffioners have done no more. Thirty or forty additional officers in the whole Continent, are the Swarms which eat out the fubliftence of the boafted number of three millions of people.

Cales had often happened in America, which Surveyors General had not authority to decide. The American merchants complained of being obliged to apply to the Commissioners of the Customs in London. The diftance caufed long delay, as well as extraordinary charge. A Board in America, was intended to remove the caufe of these complaints, as well as to keep the inferior officers of the Cuftoms to their duty. But no powers were given to this Board more than the Commissioners in London had before; and none but illicit traders ever had any reafon to complain of grievances; and they of no other than of being better watched than they had ever been before. At this time, the authority of Parliament to pais Acts for regulating commerce was acknowledged, but every measure for carrying fuch Acts into execution was pronounced an injury, and usurpation, and all the effects prevented.

He bas kept among us, in times of peace, flanding armies, without the confent of our legislatures.

This is too nugatory to deferve any remark. He has kept no armies among them without the confent of the Supreme Legislature. It is begging the queftion,  $C_2$  to

Digitized by Google

ų

to suppose that this authority was not sufficient without the aid of their own Legislatures.

He has affected to render ibe Military independent of, and superior to, the Civil Power.

When the fubordinate Civil Powers of the Empire became Aiders of the people in acts of Rebellion, the King, as well he might, has employed the Military Power to reduce those rebellious Civil Powers to their conflictutional subjection to the Supreme Civil Power. In no other fense has he ever affected to render the Military independent of, and superior to, the Civil Power.

He has combined with others to fulject us to a jurifdiction foreign to our Constitution and unacknowledged by our Laws; giving his affent to their pretended Acts of Legislation.

This is a strange way of defining the part which the Kings of England take in conjunction with the Lords and Commons in passing Acts of Parliament. But why is our prefent Sovereign to be diffinguished from all his predeceffors fince Charles the Second? Even the Republic which they affect to copy after, and Oliver, their favourite, becaufe an Ufurper, combined against them also. And then, how can a jurisdiction submitted to for more than a century be foreign to their conflitution ? And is it not the groffest prevarication to fay this jurifdiction is unacknowledged by their laws, when all Acts of Parliament which respect them, have at all times been their rule of law in all their. judicial proceedings? If this is not enough; their own fubordinate legislatures have repeatedly in address, and refolves, in the most express terms acknowledged the fupremacy of Parliament; and fo late as 1764, before the conductors of this Rebellion had fettled their plan, the House of Representatives of the leading Colony made a public declaration in an address to their Governor, that, although they humbly apprehended they might propose their objections, to the late Act of Parliament for granting certain duties in the British Colonies and Plantations in America, yet they

they at the fame time, acknowledged that it was their duty to yield obedience to it while it continued unrepealed.

If the jurifdiction of Parliament is foreign to their Conflitution, what need of fpecifying inftances, in which they have been fubjected to it? Every Act must be an usurpation and injury. They must then be mentioned, my Lord, to shew, hypothetically, that even if Parliament had jurifdiction, such Acts would be a partial and injurious use of it. 1 will confider them, to know whether they are so or not.

For quartering large bodies of armed troom among us.

When troops were employed in America, in the laft reign, to protect the Colonies againft French invalion, it was neceffary to provide againft mutiny and defertion, and to fecure proper quarters. Temporary Acts of Parliament were paffed for that purpofe, and fubmitted to in the Colonies. Upon the peace, raifed ideas took place in the Colonies, of their own importance, and caufed a reluctance againft Parliamentary authority, and an opposition to the Acts for quartering troops, not becaufe the provision made was in itfelf unjuft or unequal, but becaufe they were Acts of a Parliament whofe authority was denied. The provision was as fimilar to that in England as the state of the Colonies would admit.

For protecting them by a mock trial from punifoment, 'for any murder which they fhould commit on the Inhabitants of these States.

It is beyond human wildom to form a fyftem of laws fo perfect as to be adapted to all cales. It is happy for a ftate, that there can be an interpolition of legillative power in those cases, where an adherence to established rules would cause injustice. To try men before a biastied and pre-determined Jury would be a meck trial. To prevent this, the Act of Parliament, complained of, was passed. Surely, if in any case Parliament may interpose and alter the general rule of law, it may in this. America has not been diftinguished from other parts of the Empire. Indeed, the removal of trials

· C 3

Digitized by Google

for

for the fake of unprejudiced difinterested Juries, is at together contistent with the spirit of our laws, and the practice of courts in changing the venue from one county to another.

For cutting off our trade with all'parts of the world.

Certainly, my Lord, this could not be a caufe of Revolt. The Colonies had revolted from the Supreme Authority, to which, by their conflictutions, they were fubject, before the Act paffed. A Congress had affumed an authority over the whole, and had rebellioufly prohibited all commerce with the reft of the Empire. This act, therefore, will be confidered by the candid world, as a proof of the reluctance in government against what is the dernier refort in every state, and as a milder measure to bring the Colonies to a re-union with the reft of the Empire.

For imposing taxes on us without our confent.

How often has your Lordship heard it faid, that the Americans are willing to fubmit to the authority of Parliament in all cafes except that of taxes? Here we have a declaration made to the world of the caufes which have impelled to a feparation. We are to prefume that it contains all which they that publish it are able to fay in support of a separation, and that if any one caule was diltinguished from another, special notice. would be taken of it. That of taxes feems to have been in danger of being forgot. It comes in late, and in as flight a manner as is poffible. And, I know, my Lord, that these men, in the early days of their opposition to Parliament, have acknowledged that they pitched upon this subject of taxes, because it was most alarming to the people, every man perceiving immediately that he is perforally affected by it ; and it has, therefore, in all communities, always been a fubject more dangerous to government than any other, to make innovation in; but as their friends in England had fell in with the idea that Parliamont could have no right to tax them because not reprefented, they thought it best it should be believed they were willing to fubmit to other acts of legislation until

until this point of taxes could be gained; owning at the fame time, that they could find no fundamentals in the English Constitution, which made representation more necessary in acts for taxes, than acts for any other purpose; and that the world must have a mean opinion of their understanding, if they should rebel rather than pay a duty of three-pence per pound on tea, and yet be content to fubmit to an act which refirained them from making a nail to fhoe their own horfes. Some of them, my Lord, imagine they are as well acquainted with the nature of government, and with the conflitution and hiftory of England, as many of their partifans in the kingdom, and they will fometimes laugh at the doctrine of fundamentals from which even Parliament itself can never deviate; and they fay it has been often held and denied merely to ferve the caufe of party, and that it must be fo until these unalterable fundamentals shall be ascertained; that the great Patriots in the reign of King Charles the Second, Lord Ruffell, Hampden, Maynard, &c. whole memories they reverence. declared their opinions, that there were no bounds to the power of Parliament by any fundamentals whatever, and that even the hereditary fuccession to the Crown might be, as it fince has been, altered by A& of Parliament; whereas they who call themfelves Patriots in the prefent day have held it to be a fundamental, that there can be no taxation without reprefentation, and that Parliament cannot alter it.

But as this doctrine was held by their friends, and was of fervice to their caufe until they were prepared for a total independence, they appeared to approve it : As they have now no further occasion for it, they take no more notice of an act for imposing taxes than of many other acts; for a distinction in the authority of Parliament in any particular cafe, cannot ferve their claim to a general exemption, which they are now preparing to affert.

For depriving us, in many cafes, of the benefit of a trial by jury.

C 4

Offences

[ 24 ]

Offences against the Excise Laws, and against one or more late Acts of Trade, are determined without a Jury in England. It appears by the law books of some of the Colonies, that offences against their Laws of Excise, and some other Laws, are also determined without a Jury; and civil actions, under a sum limited, are determined by a Justice of Peace. I recollect no cases in which trials by Juries are taken away in America, by Acts of Parliament, except such as are tried in the Courts of Admiralty, and these are either for breaches of the Acts of trade, or trespasses upon the King's woods. I take no notice of the Stamp Act, because it was repealed foon after it was designed to take place.

I am forry, my Lord, that I am obliged to fay, there could not be impartial trials by Juries in either of these cases. All regulation of commerce must cease, and the King must be deprived of all the • trees referved for the Royal Navy, if no trials can be had but by Jury. The necessity of the case justified • the departure from the general rule; and in the reign of King William the Third, jurifdiction, in both these cafes, was given to the Admiralty by Acts of Parliament; and it has ever fince been part of the conftitution of the Colonies; and it may be faid, to the honour of those Courts, that there have been very few instances of complaint of injury from their decrees. Strange! that in the reign of King George the Third, this jurifdiction fhould fuddenly become an ulurpation and ground of Revolt.

For transporting us beyond seas to be tried for pretended offences.

I know of no Act, but that of the 12th of the prefent reign, to prevent the fetting fire to his Majefly's Ships, Docks, Arienals, &c. to which this article can refer —But are these presended offences?

By an Act of Parliament made in the 35th year of King Henry the Eighth, all treafons committed in any parts without the realm, may be tried in any county of England; and in the reign of Queen Anne, perfons were condemned in England for offences against this

this Act in America; but the Act does not comprehend felonies.

The offences against the last Act are made felony; and as it is most likely they should be committed in times of faction and party-rage, the Act leaves it in the power of the Crown to order the trial of any offence committed without the realm, either in the Colony, Island, Fort, where it may be committed, or in any County within the Realm.

An opinion prevailed in America, that this Act was occafioned by the burning the King's Schooner, Gafpee, by people in the Colony of Rhode Island; but the Act had passed before that fact was committed, though it was not generally known in America, until forme months after. The neglect of effectual inquiry into that offence, by the authority in Rhode Island Colony, fhews that the Act was neceffary; but when it passed, there does not appear to have been any special view to America, more than to the forts and settlements in Europe, Asia, or Africa.

For abolishing the free system of English laws in a neighbouring province, establishing therein an arbitrary Government and enlarging its boundaries, so as to render it at once an example and sit instrument for introducing it into their Colonies.

It would be impertinent to make any remarks upon the general fitness of the Quebec Act for the purposes for which it paffed, seeing your Lordship has so lately fully confidered and given your voice in it.

But what, my Lord, have the American Colonies to do with it? There are four New England Colonies: In two of them, both Governor and Council are annually elected by the body of the people; in a third, the Council is annually elected by the Affembly; in the fourth, both Governor and Council are appointed by the Crown: The three Charter Governments, for near a century paft, have never felt, nor had any reafon to fear, any change in their conflictutions, from the example of the fourth. Just as much reafon have the Colonies in general to fear a change in their feveral several conflicutions, no two of which are alike, from the example of Quebec.

With as little reason may they complain of the enlargement of the boundaries of Quebec. It was time to include the ungranted territory of America in fome jurifdiction or other, to prevent further encroachments upon it. What claim could eny of the Colonies have to a territory beyond their own limits? No other fecurity against an improper fettlement of this country could have been made equally judicious and unexceptionable. This exception is therefore utterly impertment, and feems to proceed from difappointment in a februa for engrolling the greatest part of this ungranted territory.

For taking musy our Charters, adolishing our most waluable laws, altering fundamentally the forms of our Governments.

For fuspending our own legislatures and declaring themfelves waited with power, to legislate for us in all cafes what soever.

These two articles are so much of the fame nature, that I confider them together. There has been no Colony Charter altered except that of Massachuset's Bay, and that in no respect, that I recollect, except that the appointment and power of the Council are made to conform to that of the Council of the other Royal Governments, and the laws which relate to grand and petit juries are made to conform to the general laws of the Realm.

The only inflance of the fulpenfion of any legiflative power is that of the Province of New York, for refuling to comply with an Act of Parliament for quartering the King's troops posted there for its protection and defence against the French and Indian enemies.

The exceptions, heretofore, have rather been to the authority of Parliament to revoke, or alter Charters, or legislative powers once granted and established, then to the injurious or oppressive use of the authority upon these occasions.

When parties run high, the most abfurd doctrines if a little difguiled, are casily received and embraced. I hus,

Thus, because in the Reign of Charles the first. refistance to Taxes imposed by the authority of the King alone was justifiable, and the contrary doctrine having taken the names of Patfive Obedience and Non-Refiftance, those terms became odious ; therefore in the Reign of George the Third, refiltance to Taxes imposed, by the King, Lords and Commons, upon America while not reprefented in Parliament, is juftifiable alfo; and the contrary doctrine is branded with the odious terms of Paffive Obedience and Non-Reliftance; as if the latter cale were analogous to the former. And because in the Reign of Charles the Second and James the Second, Royal Charters were deemed facred and not to be revoked or altered at the will and pleafure of the King alone; therefore in the Reign of George the Third, they are facred also and not to be revoked nor altered by the authority of Parliament.

The common people who, relying upon the authority of others, confound cafes together which are fo effentially different, may be excufed; but what excufe, my Lord, can be made for those men, in England as well as in America, who, by fuch fallacies, have mifguided the people and provoked them to rebellion ?

He has abdicated Government here, by declaring us out of his protection and waging War again t us.

He has plundered our Scas, ravaged our Coafts, burnt our Towns and destroyed the Lives of our People.

He is at this time, transporting large Armies of foreign mercenaries to compleat the works of death, defolation and tyranny, already begun with circum/lances of cruelty and perfidy forcely parallelled in the most barbarous ages, and totally unworthy the head of a civilized Nation.

He has confirationed our fellow Citizens, taken captive on the high Seas, to bear arms against their Country, to become the executioners of their Friends and Brethren, or to fall themselves by their bands.

He has excited domestick infurrections amongst us and has endeavoured to bring on the Inhabitants of our frontiers the merciless Indian Savages, whose known rule of warfare, is an undistinguished destruction of all ages, serves and conditions, These.

These, my Lord, would be weighty charges from a leyal and dutiful people against an unprovoked Sovereign: They are more than the people of England pretended to bring against King James the Second, in order to justify the Revolution. Never was there an instance of more confummate effrontery. The Acts of a jufly incenfed Sovereign for' suppressing a most unnatural, unprovoked Rebellion, are here affigned as the caules of this Rebellion. It is immaterial They are all thort of whether they are true or falfe. the penalty of the laws which had been viulated. Before the date of any one of them, the Colonists had as effectually renounced their allegiance by their deeds as they have fince done by their words. They had displaced the civil and military officers appointed by the King's authority and fet up others in their flead. They had new modelled their civil governments, and appointed a general government, independent of the King, over the whole. They had taken up arms, and made a public declaration of their refolution to defend themfelves. against the forces employed to support his legal authority over them. To fubjects, who had forfeited their lives by acts of Rebellion, every act of the Sovereign against them, which falls short of the forfeiture, is an act of favour. A most ungrateful return has been made for this favour. It has been improved to strengthen and confirm the Rebellion against him.

In every flage of these oppressions, we have petitioned for redress in the most humble terms; our repeated petitions have been answered only by repeated injury.

What these oppressions were your Lordship has seen, for we may fairly conclude, that every thing appears in this Declaration, which can give colour to this horrid Rebellion, so that these men can never complain of being condemned without a full hearing.

But does your Lordship recollect any petitions in the feveral flages of these pretended oppressions? Has there ever been a petition to the King

-To give his Aflent to these wholesome and necesfary Laws to which he had resused it?

Digitized by Google

-To

-To allow his Governors to pais laws without a fupending claufe, or without the people's relinquithing the right of Representation?

-To withdraw his inftructions for calling legiflative bodies at unufual, uncomfortable and diffant places?

"-To allow Affemblies, which had been diffolved by his order, to meet again?

-To pais laws to encourage the migration of foreigners?

-To confent to the eftablishment of judiciary Powers?

-To fuffer Judges to be independent for the continuance of their offices and falaries ?

-To vacate or difannul new erected offices?

-To withdraw his troops in times of peace, until it appeared that the reason for it was to give a free course to Rebellion?

And yet thefe, my Lord, are all the opprefilions pretended to have been received from the King-accept those in combination with the two Houses of Paliaments and they are all either großly milleprecented, or for trivial and infignificant as to have been of no general notoriety in the time of them, or mere contests between Governors and Allemblies, to light and transfient, as to have been presently forgot. All the petitions we have heard of, have been against Acts of the Supreme Legislature; and in all of them fomething has been inferted, or fomething has been done previous to them, with defign to prevent their being received.

They have petitioned for the repeal of a law, becaufe Parliament had no right to país it. The receiving and granting the prayer of fuch petition, would have been tonfidered as a renunciation of right; and from a renunciation in one inflance, would have been inferred a claim to renunciation in all other inflances. The repealing, or refraining from enacting any particular laws, or relieving from any kind of fervice, while a due fubmiffion to the laws in general fhall be continued, and fuitable return be made of other fervices, feems to be all which the Supreme Authority may grant, or the people people or any part of them, require. If any thing, my Lord, thort of independence was the redrefs fought for, all has been granted which has been prayed for, and could be granted.

A Prince, whose character is thus marked, by every at which defines the tyrant, is unfit to be the ruler of a free people.

Indignant refertment must feize the breaft of every loyal fubject. A tyrant, in modern language, means, not merely an absolute and arbitrary, but a cruel, merciles Sovereign. Have these men given an instance. of any one ACt in which the King has exceeded the just Powers of the Crown as limited by the English. Constitution ? Has he ever departed from known established laws, and substituted his own will as the rule of his actions ? Has there ever been a Prince by whom, subjects in rebellion, have been treated with less fevesity, or with longer forbearance?

Nor have we been wanting in attention to our British Brethren. We have warned them from time to time of ettempts by their legislature, to extend an unwarrantable junisliction over us. We have reminded them of the circumstances of our emigration and settlement here. We have appealed to their native justice and magnanimity, and we have conjured them by the ties of our common kindred to disawey those usurpations which would inevitably interrupt our cannections and correspondence. They too have been deaf to the voice of justice and confanguinity. We must therefore acquiesce in the necessity which denounces our separation and hold them as we hold the rest of markind, Enemies in. War, in Peace, Friends.

We therefore, the Reprefentatives of the United States of America, in General Congress affembled, appealing to the Supreme Judge of the World, for the rectifued of our intentions, do in the name and by the authority of the good People of these Colonies, solemnly publish and declare, That these United Colonies, are, and ought to be, Free and Independent States, and that they are absolved from all allegiance to the British Crown, and that all political connection between them and the State of Great Britain, is and ought to pable totally diffalved, and that as free and Independent States-they have full power to levy Wan, conclude. Peace, contrast Atliances, establish Commerce, and to do all other Ass and things which Independent States may of right do. And for the support of this Declaration, with a firm reliquestor the protection, of Divine Providence, we misually plage to each, other, our Lives, our Fortunes and eun Jacrad Honour. Signedby orden and in behalf of the Congress Iones MANCOCK, Picfident

They have my Lord in their late address to the people of Great Buitain, fully arowed these principles of Independence, by declaring they will pay no obedience to the laws of the Supreme Legislature; they have also pretended, that these laws, were the mandates or edicts of the Ministers, not the acts of a conflitutional legislative power, and have endeavoured to perfuade, fuch as they galled their British Brethren, to justify the Rebellion begun in America; and from thence they expected a general convultion in the Kingdom, and that measures to compel a submittion would in this way be obstructed. These expectations failing, after they had gone too, far in acts of Rebellion to hope for impunity, they were under the necessity of a feparation, and of involving themfelves, and all over whom they had usurped authority, in the distress and horrors of war against that power from which they revolted, and against all who continued in their subjection and fidelity to it.

Gratitude, I am fenfible, is feldom to be found in a community, but fo fudden a revolt from the reft of the Empire, which had incurred fo immense a debt, and with which it remains burdened, for the protection and defence of the Colonies, and at their most importunate request, is an inflance of ingratitude no where to be parallelled.

Suffer me, my Lord, before I clofe this Letter, to obferve, that though the profeffed reafon for publifhing the Declaration was a decent respect to the opinions of mankind, yet the real defign was to reconcile the people of America to that Independence, which always before, they had been made to believe was not intended. Intended. This defign has too well fucceeded. The people have not obferved the fallacy in reafoning from the whole to part; nor the abfurdity of making the governed to be governors. From a difposition to receive willingly complaints against Rulers, facts misseprefented have passed without examining. Discerning men have concealed their fentiments, because under the present free government in America, no man may, by writing or speaking, contradict any part of this Declaration, without being deemed an enemy to his country, and exposed to the rage and fury of the populace.

I have the honour to be,

My Lorp.

Your Lordship's most humble,

And most obedient fervant.

Digitized by Google

To the Right Honourable }

London, October, 151b. 1776.